

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,346	09/24/2003	Mohammad Jaber Borran	088245-0108	7074	
23524 FOLEY & LA	7590 10/09/200 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER			
150 EAST GII	MAN STREET	BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL			
P.O. BOX 149 MADISON, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/671,346	BORRAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kevin M. Burd	2611		

	Kevin M. Burd	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
I. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FIRST REPLT WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINATION. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
 They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		l be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 41.42.45-50.53-58 and 61-75. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. X Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/Kevin M. Burd/ Primary Examiner. Art U	nit 2611					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states De Gaudenzi fails to disclose selecting a signal constellation from a plurality of signal consellation to the determined charateristic reasoning respective of the inner and outer radii and the angle are selected so as to precompensate distortion effects. The radii are shown in figure 1. The selection of different radii would alter the signal constellation as stated in the previous office action. The radii are shown in figure 1. The selection of different radii would alter the signal constellation as stated in the previous office action. The inner and outer radii and the angle define the selected (chosen) signal constellation. Applicant states Would alter the signal constellation is further based on a number of transmit antennas used in transmitting the modulated carrier wave. The examiner disagrees. As stated in the previous office action, the combination discloses the transmitted signal and the quality of that communication is based on a number of transmit antennas. The number of stansmit since a reason for using multiple antennas in a transmitter is to improve the quality of the transmission by combatting fading, etc. The selection is based on a number of antennas used in the communication.